

**CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes**

**Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)**

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

The city's major initiative during 2014 was the Housing Services Program. Under this program are Housing Rehabilitation activities. This activity helps to keep older homes in good condition and affordable by rehabbing the home. The goal was to improve the living conditions and reduce the utility usage (gas/electric) by installing energy-star rated entry doors, window units and heating/ventilation/air conditioning systems. Attics and crawl spaces were also insulated. Replaced incandescent lights with compact fluorescent lights. Other improvements as necessary to improve living conditions and appearance of the homes. 32 owner-occupied single-family homes were improved under the program.

**Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)**

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected - Strategic Plan	Actual - Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected - Program Year	Actual - Program Year	Percent Complete
Bread of Life	Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	25000	193	0.77%	100	85	85.00%

Housing Services	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	200	72	36.00%	40	32	80.00%
Program Administration	Program Administration	CDBG: \$	Other	Other	500	142	28.40%	100	72	72.00%
Seven Hills Homeless Shelter	Homeless	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	1000	11	1.10%	10	7	70.00%
Transportation Program	Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	5000	1752	35.04%	1000	816	81.60%

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

**Assess how the jurisdiction's use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.**

The highest priority during the 2014 program year was Housing Services. Thirty-two owner-occupied single-family dwellings owned by low-income homeowners were improved under the Housing Rehabilitation activity. 29 of the 32 homes were required to be tested for lead-based paint and 11 of the homes were positive for the presence of lead-based paint.

## CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted).

91.520(a)

	<b>CDBG</b>
White	1,292
Black or African American	0
Asian	0
American Indian or American Native	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,292</b>
Hispanic	0
Not Hispanic	1,292

**Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds**

### Narrative

Families assisted include; the Taxi Program, Bread of Life, Housing Services Program and 7 Hills Homeless Shelter.

**CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)**

**Identify the resources made available**

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG		2,239,964	814,362

**Table 3 – Resources Made Available**

**Narrative**

The city disbursed \$814,361.97 in federal funds and \$40,682.60 in private funds it had available. In federal funds the city disbursed \$7,897.75 for Program Administration, \$73,746.00 for Public Service Activities and \$732,718.22 for Housing Services. Of the \$732,718.22 disbursed for the Housing Services Program, \$236,333.82 was from the 2013 Program Year entitlement grant the city carried over to the 2014 program year.

**Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments**

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description

**Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments**

**Narrative**

Funds are not allocated or committed geographically. Applications for the Housing Services Program are received from homeowners throughout the city. 45 Springdale homeowners applied for the Housing Services Program; 32 homes were rehabbed, 9 applicants were over-income; 3 applicants withdrew their application and 1 homeowner's home did not meet the requirements of the Housing Rehabilitation Program.

## **Leveraging**

**Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.**

The federal funds available were not used to leverage additional resources. There were no matching requirements. 28 homeowners contributed funds to the rehabilitation of their own home. Wells Fargo Bank donated a single family dwelling it received in a foreclosure. Wells Fargo estimated it would cost approximately \$29,456 in repairs and improvements before the dwelling could be resold. The dwelling was donated to the city which in-turn turned it over to the Housing Services Program. The Housing Services Program rehabbed the home with federal and private funds then sold the home to a low-income family.

**CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)**

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	0	0
Number of Non-Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	40	0
Number of Special-Needs households to be provided affordable housing units	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>0</b>

**Table 5 – Number of Households**

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through Rental Assistance	0	0
Number of households supported through The Production of New Units	0	0
Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units	40	32
Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>32</b>

**Table 6 – Number of Households Supported**

**Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.**

The difference in the estimated and actual goals is partly from the lack of time after the city received its 2014 program year allocation. Another difference is the amount of funds the city had available for Housing Rehabilitation.

**Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.**

The outcomes that affect future goals is: the amount of funding available and the amount of time available after the city receives its allocation along with the number of applications received for Housing Rehabilitation assistance.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Persons Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	4	0
Low-income	15	0
Moderate-income	13	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>0</b>

**Table 7 – Number of Persons Served**

**Narrative Information**

The stats above are strictly for Housing Rehabilitation activities. Thirty-two owner-occupied single-family dwellings were improved through housing rehabilitation assistance. All households were below 80% of the median adjusted for family size. 29 of the 32 homes were built before 1978 and were tested for the presence of lead-based-paint. 11 of the 29 homes tested positive for the presence of lead-based-paint and required a contractor certified in lead-paint safe work practices. 9 of the 11 homes were cleared of lead-based paint, the other two homes were not cleared until January 2, 2015.

**CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)**

**Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:**

**Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs**

The city does not have a homeless shelter and therefore provided the Fayetteville Homeless Shelter to assist the homeless in Springdale.

**Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons**

Funds from the Public Service Activity are provided to the Fayetteville Homeless Shelter to assist homeless persons from Springdale.

**Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again**

There were no actions carried out for this during the 2014 program year.

**Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs**

Maintaining and improving the older housing stock prevents these homes from becoming dilapidated and prevents the occupants from having to leave the home.

## **CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)**

### **Actions taken to address the needs of public housing**

The city does not use funds from the Community Development Block Grant allocation to assist the Public Housing Agency.

### **Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership**

The Springdale Housing Authority does an excellent job at explaining the benefits of homeownership.

### **Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs**

Springdale's Housing Authority is not a troubled PHA.

**CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)**

**Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)**

The Planning & Community Development Director continues to work on public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing.

**Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

One underserved need is senior citizens. The Transportation Program provides 40 \$1.00 taxi coupons monthly to qualified seniors and handicapped individuals.

**Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

All homes constructed prior to 1978 were tested for the presence of lead-based paint. 27 homes were spot checked, 11 tested positive and 16 tested negative for the presence of lead-based paint. The 11 homes positive for lead-based paint required a contractor certified in lead-based paint safe work practices. All 11 homes were cleared of lead-based paint hazards.

**Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

No actions were planned or taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families.

**Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

The city has a good institutional structure but is always looking for ways to improve it.

**Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

Public meetings and interaction with social service agencies are ways the city enhances coordination.

**Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)**

The city works with lending agencies to help to remove impediments to fair housing choice.

## **CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230**

**Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements**

The city's Community Development Block Grant Program Director is responsible for administering the program. The director conducts quarterly site visits to agencies that were provided with funds from the Community Development Block Grant. The date and time along with other pertinent information is recorded and placed in the sub-recipient's file. The director follows the Monitoring Plan.

## **Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)**

**Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.**

A display ad is placed in the local newspaper the day before the CAPER is released for comments. The ad informs citizens on where the CAPER is available for comment, the comment period and encourages Springdale citizens to provide comments on the CAPER.

**CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)**

**Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.**

The city currently has no plans to change its objectives. The primary objective is the Housing Services Program which uses the majority of the grant allocation to improve older single family homes.

**Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?**

No

**[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.**